

02-277

**Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** Paul Yuknavich [bigyukie@adelphia.net]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 05, 2004 10:27 PM  
**To:** Commissioner Adelstein  
**Subject:** I Oppose a Broadcast Flag Mandate for Digital Television

**RECEIVED**

JUN - 3 2004

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

May 5, 2004

Commissioner Jonathan S. Adelstein  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street, NW  
Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Jonathan Adelstein,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Paul Yuknavich  
R1031 Wyoming Ave  
Exeter, PA 18643  
USA

02-277

**Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** D.W.Marsh [Marsh\_House@xmission.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 04, 2004 8:47 AM  
**To:** Michael Powell  
**Subject:** Media ownership & their AEGEAN STABLES

**RECEIVED**

JUN - 3 2004

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

D. W. Marsh  
2686 East 3900 South  
Holladay,, UT 84124

May 4, 2004

Powell:

It's Time Mr. Powell:

Please work against monopoly in media ownership AND  
let's muck out the Aegean Stables our media have become.

Sincerely,

D. W. Marsh

**Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** democraticmedia@democraticmedia.org  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 29, 2004 5:45 PM  
**To:** Michael Powell; Kathleen Abernathy; Michael Copps; KJMWEED; Perlmutter; Adelstein  
**Subject:** Stop the digital broadcast give-away

RECEIVED

JUN - 3 2004

From: Troy A. Harris

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

I oppose loosening the rules designed to promote and protect diversity of media ownership. These rules were adopted to ensure that the public would receive a diverse range of viewpoints from the media, and not simply the opinions of a handful of media conglomerates.

**Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** democraticmedia@democraticmedia.org  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 29, 2004 5:45 PM  
**To:** Michael Powell; Kathleen Abernathy; Michael Copps; KJMWEB; Commissioner Adelstein  
**Subject:** Stop the digital broadcast give-away

**RECEIVED**

JUN - 3 2004

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

From: Troy A. Harris

I oppose loosening the rules designed to promote and protect diversity of media ownership. These rules were adopted to ensure that the public would receive a diverse range of viewpoints from the media, and not simply the opinions of a handful of media conglomerates.

02-277

**Stephanie Kost**

**From:** Michael Mayo [mjmayo72@hotmail.com]  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 29, 2004 2:16 AM  
**To:** Michael Powell  
**Subject:** FCC promote media diversity

**RECEIVED**

JUN - 3 2004

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

Dear FCC Commissioner Michael Powell,

Numerous reports agree that the Federal Communications is planning to loosen longstanding rules governing control of the media that bring news and views to the American public. This will inevitably lead to monopoly, by a few large corporate giants, of TV stations, newspapers, and broadcast networks.

I urge you to halt immediately any implementation of these these FCC plans that threaten public access to diverse views and information.

Sincerely,

Michael John Mayo  
143 Shotwell Park  
Syracuse, New York  
13206

---

Get rid of annoying pop-up ads with the new MSN Toolbar – FREE!

02-277

**Stephanie Kost**

**From:** Andrew Barbieri [barbieriandrew@hotmail.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 28, 2004 8:45 PM  
**To:** Commissioner Adelstein  
**Subject:** I Oppose a Broadcast Flag Mandate for Digital Television

**RECEIVED**

JUN - 3 2004

April 28, 2004

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

Commissioner Jonathan S. Adelstein  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street, NW  
Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Jonathan Adelstein,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Andrew Barbieri  
969 Center Hill Rd.  
PO Box 388  
Copake, NY 12516  
USA

02-277

**Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** BEACHCITYLIFT@aol.com  
**Sent:** Monday, April 26, 2004 7:04 PM  
**To:** Michael Powell  
**Subject:** Stand for what is right Mr Powell

RECEIVED

JUN - 3 2004

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

And please stop the media consolidation. Surely you can see the Orwellian possibilities here. Mike  
Cole Orange CA

**Stephanie Kost****RECEIVED**

**From:** janmarie [janmarie@everestkc.net]  
**Sent:** Saturday, April 24, 2004 2:35 PM  
**To:** Michael Powell  
**Cc:** scrockett@democratictalkradio.com; Foxnews.com; Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary  
**Subject:** media consolodation

Dear Commissioner Powell:

It is really ashame that you are such a part of the movement to allow such conglomerates in our media outlets. It has ruined the ability of small business to adequately advertise products in their local areas. The consolidation on radio has made the advertising costs prohibitive and lots of little talk radio programs that were informative have literally been kicked off of the stations once the conglomerate took over. Soon we will be a nation of box stores - big box stores - carrying goods made overseas so that Americans can spend the last little money they can earn on overseas products advertised on stations controlled by a few in bed with the importers. Forget Americans, their jobs, their advertising outlets or their need for any local ownership. If there was a medal for creating rules that could break our free system you and your team would certainly qualify for that honor. janmarie hornack, a citizen who believes in Americans and local business



**Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** Paul Szymanowski [pszymanowski@earthlink.net]  
**Sent:** Saturday, April 24, 2004 10:54 AM  
**To:** FCC  
**Subject:** Disturbing Trend

**RECEIVED**

JUN - 3 2004

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

Dear Commissioner:

In just twenty short years, this nation has gone from fifty media conglomerate owners to the present day six. Democracy will not survive when the only news and information people get is from a few sources. The FCC's latest rulings on mergers will most likely create a scenario where only one or two conglomerates own our nation's newspapers, radio, and TV. Can you say **Banana Republic**?

This disturbing trend goes hand in hand with the abolishment of the Fairness Act during the Regan administration. Because conglomerate owners are Republicans, we see a media full of radical right wing propaganda and no requirement for opposing viewpoints. If you want to create a dictatorship, you're well on your way.

Sincerely,

Paul Szymanowski

02-277

Stephanie Kost

**From:** Paul Szymanowski [pszymanowski@earthlink.net]  
**Sent:** Saturday, April 24, 2004 10:54 AM  
**To:** FCC  
**Subject:** Disturbing Trend

RECEIVED

JUN - 3 2004

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

Dear Commissioner:

In just twenty short years, this nation has gone from fifty media conglomerate owners to the present day six. Democracy will not survive when the only news and information people get is from a few sources. The FCC's latest rulings on mergers will most likely create a scenario where only one or two conglomerates own our nation's newspapers, radio, and TV. Can you say **Banana Republic**?

This disturbing trend goes hand in hand with the abolishment of the Fairness Act during the Regan administration. Because conglomerate owners are Republicans, we see a media full of radical right wing propaganda and no requirement for opposing viewpoints. If you want to create a dictatorship, you're well on your way.

Sincerely,

Paul Szymanowski

**Stephanie Kost****RECEIVED**

**From:** Shaun [shaun@arral.org]  
**Sent:** Friday, April 23, 2004 5:24 PM  
**To:** Michael Powell  
**Subject:** Do not decentralize the media !!!!

JUN - 3 2004

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

We don't get the truth now, could you imagine a communist type (corps.  
owned, govt backed) information infastructure ?!?!?!?!?

Mass exodus from their monopoly by the people.

Information, the governments worst enemy, truth.

Thanks for listening.  
Peace

**Stephanie Kost****RECEIVED**

**From:** Inovrmihd@aol.com  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 21, 2004 7:51 PM  
**To:** Michael Powell  
**Subject:** MEDIA DIVERSITY

JUN - 3 2004

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

I oppose any rule change that would allow a monopoly of media outlets. To strengthen out democracy we require that news and entertainment be as widely held as possible so that all views can be aired. Thank you, Elinor Burton, Los Angeles, CA

**Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** democraticmedia@democraticmedia.org  
**Sent:** Monday, April 19, 2004 4:54 PM  
**To:** Michael Powell; Kathleen Abernathy; Michael Copps; KJMWEB; Commissioner Adelstein  
**Subject:** Stop the digital broadcast give-away

**RECEIVED**

JUN - 3 2004

From: Jamila Crouch

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

I oppose loosening the rules designed to promote and protect diversity of media ownership. These rules were adopted to ensure that the public would receive a diverse range of viewpoints from the media, and not simply the opinions of a handful of media conglomerates.

**Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** democraticmedia@democraticmedia.org  
**Sent:** Monday, April 19, 2004 4:54 PM  
**To:** Michael Powell; Kathleen Abernathy; Michael Copps; KJMWEB; Commissioner Adelstein  
**Subject:** Stop the digital broadcast give-away

**RECEIVED**

JUN - 3 2004

From: Jamila Crouch

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

I oppose loosening the rules designed to promote and protect diversity of media ownership. These rules were adopted to ensure that the public would receive a diverse range of viewpoints from the media, and not simply the opinions of a handful of media conglomerates.

**Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** democraticmedia@democraticmedia.org  
**Sent:** Monday, April 19, 2004 4:54 PM  
**To:** Michael Powell; Kathleen Abernathy; Michael Copps; KJMWEB; Congressmen Reid  
**Subject:** Stop the digital broadcast give-away

**RECEIVED**

JUN - 3 2004

From: Jamila Crouch

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

I oppose loosening the rules designed to promote and protect diversity of media ownership. These rules were adopted to ensure that the public would receive a diverse range of viewpoints from the media, and not simply the opinions of a handful of media conglomerates.

Stephanie Kost

JUN - 3 2004

From: tim@emaylone.com  
 Sent: Saturday, April 17, 2004 7:54 PM  
 To: KJMWEB  
 Subject: Unlicensed frquencies should be expanded not limited - FCC WT Docket #02-55

Federal Communications Commission  
 Office of the Secretary

Commissioner Kevin J. Martin  
 Federal Communications Commission  
 445 12th Street, SW  
 Washington, DC 20554

Dear Commissioner Martin,

Emergency response communications have never been more critical however the unlicensed frequencies should not be the place for this very important function.

That's because of a common problem on police, fire and EMS radios: interference. Interference - is a naturakl part of the unlicensed frequency. Additional licensed fequencies should be utilized not the unlicensed ban.

To many municipalities to save money have utilized the unlicensed frequencies this is endangering public safety. In fact unlicensed frequencies should be increased so that additional consumer rproducts can be delivered more cost effectively. Municipalities should be banned from utilizing the unlicensed frequencies and forced to used a more secure medium for public communications for America's police, fire and EMS workers.

This problem has existed long enough, and our first responders deserve better. I am asking you to help.

The licensed band was created just for this reason. Do not inhibit the unlicensed frequecies just because some municipalities have deployed low quality gear in an attempt to save money.

Sincerely,

Tim Maylone  
 P.o. Box 938  
 Elk Rapids, Michigan 49629

cc:  
 Senator Carl Levin  
 Senator Debbie Stabenow  
 Representative Bart Stupak



02-277

**Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** Jan Vermillion [jackjanv@hotmail.com]  
**Sent:** Saturday, April 17, 2004 9:11 AM  
**To:** Michael Powell  
**Cc:** Kathleen Abernathy; Michael Copps; KJMWEB; Commissioner Adelstein  
**Subject:** "Broadcast Ownership Rules"

**RECEIVED**

JUN - 3 2004

Honorable Michael K. Powell:

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

If proposed "broadcast ownership rules" are adopted, independent voices in cities across the United States could be snuffed out by huge media corporations. Whole communities and even whole states and regions could be dominated by media companies that would have the power to decide which viewpoints to allow on the air and which to censor. Big media has proved in the past that they will use their power to keep opposing viewpoints off the air.

Please protect our First and Second Amendments Rights and do not adopt the proposed "broadcast ownership rules". Do not sway to the side of the big media conglomerates with their one sided viewpoints.

Thank you,

Jack and Jan Vermillion

---

Watch LIVE baseball games on your computer with MLB.TV, included with MSN Premium!

02-277

**Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** democraticmedia@democraticmedia.org  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 13, 2004 10:54 PM  
**To:** Michael Powell; Kathleen Abernathy; Michael Copps; KJMWEB; Commissioner Adelstein  
**Subject:** Stop the digital broadcast give-away

**RECEIVED**

JUN - 3 2004

From: David M. Quintana

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

I oppose loosening the rules designed to promote and protect diversity of media ownership. These rules were adopted to ensure that the public would receive a diverse range of viewpoints from the media, and not simply the opinions of a handful of media conglomerates.

02-277

**Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** democraticmedia@democraticmedia.org  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 13, 2004 10:54 PM  
**To:** Michael Powell; Kathleen Abernathy; Michael Copps; KJMWEB; Commissioner Adelstein  
**Subject:** Stop the digital broadcast give-away

RECEIVED

JUN - 3 2004

From: David M. Quintana

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

I oppose loosening the rules designed to promote and protect diversity of media ownership. These rules were adopted to ensure that the public would receive a diverse range of viewpoints from the media, and not simply the opinions of a handful of media conglomerates.

02-217

**Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** democraticmedia@democraticmedia.org  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 13, 2004 5:42 AM  
**To:** Michael Powell; Kathleen Abernathy; Michael Copps; KJMWEB; Commissioner Adelstein  
**Subject:** Stop the digital broadcast give-away

RECEIVED

JUN - 3 2004

From: syrinx777@hotmail.com

Federal Communications Commission

I oppose loosening the rules designed to promote and protect diversity of media ownership. These rules were adopted to ensure that the public would receive a diverse range of viewpoints from the media, and not simply the opinions of a handful of media conglomerates.

Please listen to the American people's voice.

02-227

**Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** democraticmedia@democraticmedia.org  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 13, 2004 5:42 AM  
**To:** Michael Powell; Kathleen Abernathy; Michael Copps; KJMWEB; Commissioner Adelstein  
**Subject:** Stop the digital broadcast give-away

**RECEIVED**

JUN - 3 2004

From: syrinx777@hotmail.com

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

I oppose loosening the rules designed to promote and protect diversity of media ownership. These rules were adopted to ensure that the public would receive a diverse range of viewpoints from the media, and not simply the opinions of a handful of media conglomerates.

Please listen to the American people's voice.

02-277

**Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** Internetuser61@aol.com  
**Sent:** Monday, April 12, 2004 10:19 PM  
**To:** Michael Powell  
**Subject:** \*Federal Communications Commission

**RECEIVED**

JUN - 3 2004

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

Dear Mr. Powell,

Why do only 6 corporations own and control the mainstream media?

There used be 40,000 businesses that owned the media. Constricting ownership equates to constricting ideas and perspectives and hinders intellectual development of society. The FCC is too lenient on their regulations; stricter rules need to be imposed to limit amount of media controlled by one corporation. Primarily, as human beings on planet earth not politicians or businessmen or any other occupation for that matter, our priority should be caring and improving lives --- not just our own.

Best,  
Robert from California

I would prefer a response.